

Math in the Real World
Early Findings from a Study of the
Dana Center Mathematics Pathways

Supplementary Tables

Elizabeth Zachry Rutschow

John Diamond

Elena Serna-Wallender

May 2017



We are grateful for the support provided to the Center for the Analysis of Postsecondary Readiness (CAPR) by the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, and by the Charles A. Dana Center at the University of Texas at Austin, which also contributed funding for the development of this brief. In addition, we greatly appreciate the input from the four colleges that are participating in this study: El Paso Community College, Trinity Valley Community College, and Brookhaven College and Eastfield College, both of which are a part of the Dallas County Community College District.

We are also thankful to the many individuals who read and reviewed this report. In particular, we are grateful to those who gave us excellent written and oral feedback, including Alexander Mayer, Evan Weissman, Lashawn Richburg-Hayes, Robert Ivry, and Mary Visher of MDRC; Thomas Bailey and Nicole Edgecombe of the Community College Research Center (CCRC) at Teachers College, Columbia University; Jennifer Dorsey, Martha Ellis, and Connie Richardson of the Charles A. Dana Center; and Hunter Boylan, director of the National Center for Developmental Education and professor at Appalachian State University. Finally, we would like to thank the publications staff from MDRC and CCRC, including Jennie Kaufman and Doug Slater.

CAPR is a partnership of research scholars led by CCRC and MDRC. The research reported here was supported by the Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, through Grant R305C140007 to Teachers College, Columbia University. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent views of the institute or the U.S. Department of Education.

For more information about CAPR, visit postsecondaryreadiness.org.

Copyright © 2017 by CAPR. All rights reserved.

List of Exhibits

Table

S.1	Key Distinctions Between Traditional Developmental and College-Level Math Courses and DCMP	1
S.2	Complete Characteristics of Students in the Study, Fall 2015 and Spring 2016 Cohorts	2
S.3	Responses to All Student Survey Questions, Fall 2015 and Spring 2016 Cohorts	4

Supplementary Table S.1
Key Distinctions Between Traditional Developmental and
College-Level Math Courses and DCMP

Program Component	Traditional Courses	DCMP Courses
<i>Acceleration</i>		
Course structure	Two courses for students with two developmental course needs; one course for students with one developmental course need	One developmental course for students with one to two developmental course needs
<i>Math content</i>		
Developmental math	Emphasizes algebraic skills	Emphasizes quantitative literacy, statistics, and algebraic reasoning skills
College-level math	Algebra	Three distinct course pathways: statistics, quantitative reasoning, and path to calculus; pathway selection based on intended major
<i>Instruction</i>		
Curricular materials	Organized around discrete skills and topics ^a	Organized around broad mathematical concepts and "big ideas"
Pedagogical approach	Lecture-based ^a	Employs a variety of approaches, including small group work, class discussions, and interactive lectures; students are actively involved in analyzing data and problem solving
Constructive perseverance	Generally not a focus in math instruction ^a	Helps students develop metacognitive skills, the ability to work through challenging tasks, monitor their own learning, and understand the role of struggle in learning
Problem solving	Consists of formula or rule-based applications and rote practice using one solution method ^a	Supports the application of previously learned skills to unfamiliar and nonroutine problems; students develop multiple strategies and solution methods and share with one another
Context and interdisciplinary connections	Formulas, equations, symbols, and rules are taught as isolated math skills ^a	Math problems are connected to real-life situations and other academic disciplines; real data sets and realistic applications are used
Reading and writing	Learning is focused on equations and rote practice in applying rules and formulas; occasional word problems ^a	Develops students' ability to extract mathematics from problem situations, set up and solve the mathematical task, and interpret the result within the original context
Use of technology	Textbook-based with some use of calculators or computers ^a	Involves regular use of calculators; homework is completed via computer-based program (MyMathLab)

^aInstructional approaches in traditional developmental math courses vary; description reflects the approach taken in many classrooms.

Supplementary Table S.2**Complete Characteristics of Students in the Study, Fall 2015 and Spring 2016 Cohorts**

Characteristic	Sample Size	Program Group	Standard Group	Standard Difference	Standard Error
Age (years)	594	23.0	23.8	-0.8	0.7
Female (%)	594	64.8	62.7	2.1	4.0
Missing		7.5	11.0	-3.5	2.4
Race/ethnicity (%)	594				
White		12.8	14.0	-1.1	2.9
Black		12.3	7.6	4.7*	2.6
Hispanic		53.1	52.5	0.5	4.2
Other		2.8	2.5	0.3	1.4
Missing		19.0	23.3	-4.3	3.4
Highest grade completed (%)	588				
8th grade or lower		1.4	1.7	-0.3	1.0
9th grade		1.1	0.9	0.3	0.8
10th grade		1.4	4.3	-2.9**	1.3
11th grade		5.1	5.2	-0.1	1.9
12th grade		91.0	88.0	3.0	2.6
Diplomas/degrees earned ^a (%)	594				
High school diploma		88.8	83.5	5.4*	2.8
GED		10.3	14.8	-4.5	2.7
Occupational/technical certificate		6.1	7.6	-1.5	2.1
Associate's degree		0.6	1.7	-1.1	0.8
Bachelor's degree		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Master's degree or higher		0.3	0.0	0.3	0.3
None of the above		0.3	1.3	-1.0	0.7
Date of high school graduation/GED receipt (%)	587				
During the past year		50.0	45.0	5.0	4.2
Between 1 and 5 years ago		22.8	25.1	-2.4	3.6
Between 5 and 10 years ago		11.2	9.5	1.7	2.6
More than 10 years ago		16.0	20.3	-4.3	3.2
Planned enrollment this semester (%)	565				
Less than part time (fewer than 6 credits)		9.0	9.0	0.0	2.5
Part time (6 to 12 credits)		32.4	38.3	-5.9	4.1
Full time (12 credits or more)		58.6	52.7	5.9	4.3
Has failed a high school or college math class in the past (%)	594	34.1	34.3	-0.2	4.0
Missing		7.5	7.2	0.3	2.2

(continued)

Supplementary Table S.2 (continued)

Characteristic	Sample Size	Program Group	Standard Group	Standard Difference	Standard Error
Math placement ^b (%)	594				
College-ready		2.2	3.0	-0.7	1.3
Placed 1 level below college-ready	14.0	14.0	0.0	2.9	
Placed 2 levels below college-ready	83.0	82.2	0.8	3.2	
Placed 3 levels below college-ready	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.8	
Intended major (%)	591				
Science, technology, engineering, or math		3.7	5.5	-1.8	1.7
Health science	34.4	31.4	3.0	4.0	
Social science	20.3	21.6	-1.3	3.4	
Arts and humanities	18.3	18.2	0.1	3.3	
Business and communications	7.3	6.4	1.0	2.1	
Undecided	4.8	7.2	-2.4	2.0	
Other	11.3	9.7	1.5	2.6	
Average student agreement (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree)					
I am confident with math	594	3.00	3.21	-0.21**	0.10
I know I can handle difficulties in math	594	3.39	3.45	-0.06	0.08
Learning math is enjoyable	590	3.19	3.28	-0.09	0.10
I know how math is needed in my future	594	4.17	4.28	-0.11	0.07
I use the math I learned in everyday life	594	3.44	3.52	-0.08	0.10
My math classes use real-life problems	592	3.50	3.44	0.06	0.08
Sample size	594	358	236		

SOURCES: Authors' calculations using data from baseline survey of students participating in the study and administrative student data. Baseline survey was administered to students immediately before random assignment, during the study intake process.

NOTES: Rounding may cause slight discrepancies in sums and differences.

Missing values are shown only for items with more than 5 percent missing values.

A two-tailed t-test was applied to differences between research groups. Statistical significance levels are indicated as follows: *** = 1 percent; ** = 5 percent; * = 10 percent.

An omnibus test for joint significance was conducted; the results indicated that the program and standard groups were not jointly significantly different on the characteristics listed above.

^aDistributions may not sum to 100 percent because categories are not mutually exclusive.

^bWhile course names vary between colleges, math courses three levels below college readiness are frequently referred to as Pre-Algebra, courses two levels down as Beginning Algebra, and courses one level down as Intermediate Algebra.

Supplementary Table S.3

Responses to All Student Survey Questions, Fall 2015 and Spring 2016 Cohorts

Response (%)	Sample Size	Program Group	Standard Group	Standard Difference	Standard Error
Intended major or program of study	366				
Liberal arts, fine arts, and humanities		22.3	23.3	-1.1	4.4
Social sciences and social services		21.6	15.0	6.6	4.2
Nursing and health professions		29.5	28.9	0.6	4.9
Business and accounting		4.7	8.1	-3.4	2.6
Teaching and education		13.6	13.1	0.5	3.6
Science, technology, engineering, and math		5.7	10.1	-4.4	2.8
Other		2.6	1.5	1.1	1.6
Currently taking a math class	379	86.1	80.5	5.6	3.9
Among those taking a math class, reported that always or often during class					
<i>Instructor encouraged student to find own way</i>	317	73.9	47.4		
<i>Instructor showed class multiple ways</i>					
<i>to solve problems</i>	317	77.7	67.3		
<i>Students discussed and shared strategies</i>	316	76.2	45.6		
<i>Students worked in small groups</i>	316	81.4	23.1		
<i>Students worked on problems on own</i>	316	41.1	69.4		
<i>Problems used information from real life</i>	315	68.0	26.4		
<i>Student was asked to explain work orally using math terminology</i>	315	66.6	30.9		
<i>Student had to read</i>	315	73.7	43.6		
<i>Student was asked to write out reasoning</i>	313	71.2	24.8		
<i>Student explained work to other students</i>	316	64.5	16.0		
<i>Student worked with other students on problems</i>	313	79.3	23.5		
<i>Student did not go to class</i>	309	3.2	3.2		
<i>Homework prepared student for next class</i>	311	77.5	65.5		
<i>Homework tested student's understanding</i>	297	79.5	60.3		
<i>Student used a computer in class or at home</i>	311	68.4	64.4		
Among those taking a math class, difficulty of material	312				
<i>Very easy</i>		5.2	10.1		
<i>Easy</i>		25.0	13.9		
<i>About right</i>		53.5	38.2		
<i>Difficult</i>		12.2	34.3		
<i>Very difficult</i>		4.0	3.6		

(continued)

Supplementary Table S.3 (continued)

Response (%)	Sample Size	Program Group	Standard Group	Standard Difference	Standard Error
Among those taking a math class, agreed or strongly agreed with the following statements about the class					
<i>Class was taught using real-life problems</i>	310	84.5	42.4		
<i>You learned how to struggle through problems</i>	306	81.4	63.8		
<i>You tried to work through problems even if instructor hadn't yet taught how</i>	307	68.7	67.8		
<i>Class taught you to think more about what you're learning</i>	307	86.1	71.8		
Among those taking a math class, thought the following statements were always true or mostly true					
<i>You felt bored during class</i>	309	11.6	17.9		
<i>You paid attention during class</i>	309	91.2	79.9		
<i>You went to class unprepared</i>	309	4.0	4.5		
<i>You worked very hard on your math</i>	309	78.0	75.4		
<i>What you learned was interesting</i>	309	64.0	44.1		
<i>You use the math you learned for daily activities</i>	307	58.3	26.8		
<i>Class made you more confident in math ability</i>	306	63.8	55.6		
<i>Class increased your interest in math</i>	306	47.7	29.4		
<i>Instructor did not let people give up</i>	305	80.6	65.7		
<i>Instructor expected you to solve problems on your own</i>	308	48.5	37.7		
Agreed or strongly agreed with the following statements					
<i>Intelligence is something people are born with and can't be changed</i>	366	9.8	10.6	-0.7	3.3
<i>The more you work at math the better you'll be</i>	366	59.9	64.7	-4.8	5.3
<i>You are confident with math</i>	367	19.5	21.9	-2.4	4.3
<i>You know you can handle difficulties in math</i>	364	22.1	18.2	3.9	4.4
<i>Learning math is enjoyable</i>	365	20.8	17.3	3.6	4.3
<i>You understand how math will be needed in your future</i>	367	44.4	43.8	0.6	5.4
<i>You use the math you learned in everyday life</i>	367	32.5	23.9	8.6*	4.8

(continued)

Supplementary Table S.3 (continued)

Response (%)	Sample Size	Program Group	Standard Group	Standard Difference	Standard Error
Among those not taking a math class, time since last math class	59				
<i>Within the last 3 months</i>		14.5	5.0		
<i>Between 3 and 6 months</i>		20.8	19.8		
<i>More than 6 months but less than a year</i>		23.8	20.0		
<i>More than a year ago, but less than 2 years</i>		29.4	13.3		
<i>Two or more years</i>		13.2	28.9		
<i>Missing</i>		-1.6	13.0		
Among those not taking a math class, last math class taken	57				
<i>High school math class</i>		41.9	62.4		
<i>College math class offered at site</i>		47.6	19.1		
<i>College math class offered elsewhere</i>		4.6	14.1		
<i>Online math class</i>		5.9	4.4		
Sample size	382	235	147		

SOURCE: Authors' calculations from DCMP survey fielded to students at Brookhaven, Eastfield, El Paso, and Trinity Valley Community Colleges.

NOTES: This survey was fielded to both the fall 2015 and spring 2016 cohorts during the spring 2016 semester. The survey asked students in the spring 2016 cohort to consider their current math class when responding to questions, while students in the fall 2015 cohort were asked to think about their math class from the previous semester.

The survey was fielded to 594 students. The overall response rate was 64 percent (66 percent in the program group and 62 percent in the standard group). Survey fielding to students in later cohorts of the study is ongoing. A later report will include additional details about the full sample.

Rounding may cause slight discrepancies in sums and differences.

Missing values are shown only for items with more than 5 percent missing values.

Estimates are adjusted by site-cohort differences.

A two-tailed t-test was applied to differences between research groups. Statistical significance levels are indicated as follows: *** = 1 percent; ** = 5 percent; * = 10 percent.

Values shown in italics are calculated for a subset of the full sample. Differences and statistical significance are not calculated for these values.